

# NATIONAL DRUG AUTHORITY

## Best Evaluated Bidder Notice (For Procurement and Disposal Notice Board)

The bidder named below has been evaluated as the best evaluated bidder for the procurement requirement detailed below. It is the intention of National Drug Authority to place a contract with the bidder named below after ten (10) working days from the date for display given below.

Procurement Reference number	NDA/WORKS/16-17/00005.
Subject of Procurement	Construction of the NDA Tower (Phase 1-Block A and the Basement for Phase 2-Block B).
Method of Procurement	Open International Bidding.
Name of Best Evaluated Bidder	M/S Seyani Brothers & Co. (U) Limited
Total Contract Price	USD 8,163,202.76 (United States Dollars Eight Million One Hundred Sixty Three Thousand Two Hundred Two and Seventy Six Cents), inclusive of all taxes.

Date for Display	January 23, 2017
Date for Removal	February 06, 2017

Display of this Notice does not constitute an acceptance of the bid described above or the formation of a contract. Bid acceptance and contract placement shall be in accordance with the Regulations.

### UNSUCCESSFUL BIDDERS

SN	NAME OF BIDDER	REASONS FOR BEING UNSUCCESSFUL
1.	M/S CRJE (East Africa) Limited	The bidder passed the preliminary and detailed evaluation stage but failed the financial stage because the corrected total bid price plus the margin of preference of 7% computed in accordance with Section 59A (1a and 3b) of the PPDA Act, 2014 and ITB 34.1 and 34.3 of the bidding document was USD 8,444,840.75 (United States Dollars Eight Million Four Hundred Fourty Four Thousand Eight Hundred Fourty and Seventy Five Cents) which was higher than the best evaluated bidder.
2.	M/S China National Aero Technology International Engineering Corporation (CATIC)	The bidder passed the preliminary and detailed evaluation stage but failed the financial stage because the corrected total bid price plus the margin of preference of 7% computed in accordance with Section 59A (1a and 3b) of the PPDA Act, 2014 and ITB 34.1 and 34.3 of the bidding document was USD 9,233,348.61 (United States Dollars Nine Million Two Hundred Thirty Three Thousand Three Hundred Fourty Eight and Sixty One Cents) which was higher than the best evaluated bidder.

## NATIONAL DRUG AUTHORITY

3.	M/S Roko Construction Limited	The bidder passed the preliminary and detailed evaluation stage but failed the financial stages because the corrected total bid price and a 7.5% discount excluding preliminaries and provisional sums plus the margin of preference of 7% computed in accordance with Section 59A (1a and 3b) of the PPDA Act, 2014 and ITB 34.1 and 34.3 of the bidding document was USD 8,185,722.55 (United States Dollars Eight Million One Hundred Eighty Five Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty Two and Fifty Five Cents) which was higher than the best evaluated bidder.
4.	M/S China National Complete Plant Import and Export Limited (COMPLANT)	<p>The bidder failed the preliminary and detailed evaluation stage because of the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) The bidder submitted a bank facility in form of a credit agreement from Beijing East Third Branch Bank to confirm the source of funding. This credit agreement expired on 30<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 yet the bid validity period stated in the bidding document is 28<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.</li> <li>b) The bidder submitted contradicting documents. The contract executed between the bidder and AFRI Properties Limited had a total sum of USD 5,350,000 yet the recommendation letter from AFRI Properties Limited for the same project indicated that the cost of the building was USD 9,180,783.</li> <li>c) The bidder submitted contracts and recommendation letters that did not meet the minimum requirements of three buildings with 9 floors executed at a cost of USD 9,000,000 each within the last five years as specified in the bidding document.</li> <li>d) The bidder submitted an Electrical Engineer, Tang Tiefu as one of the key personnel for the construction project. However, the bidder did not provide evidence to confirm that the said engineer was a registered Engineer with an Engineering Professional body as required in the bidding document.</li> <li>e) The Degree Certificate in Engineering from Huashan Water Conservancy and Hydro Electrical College of China submitted by the bidder to support the academic qualifications and experience of the Foreman – Civil Works, Lang Wanxi, does not show specialty in Civil Works or the type of engineering degree obtained by this key personnel.</li> </ul>
5.	M/S Yanjian Group Company Limited	<p>The bidder failed the preliminary and detailed evaluation stage because of the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) The bid submission sheet submitted by the bidder was signed by Li-Xuanlin whose powers of attorney were not notarized.</li> <li>b) The bidder submitted a translated trading licence which did not bear the signature and stamp of the translator nor was it witnessed as a true translation or notarized by the notary public.</li> <li>c) The translated business licence submitted by the bidder did not bear the signature and stamp of the translator nor was it witnessed</li> </ul>

## NATIONAL DRUG AUTHORITY

		<p>as a true translation or notarized by the notary public.</p> <p>d) The translated tax clearance certificate submitted by the bidder did not bear the signature and stamp of the translator nor was it witnessed as a true translation or notarized by the notary public.</p> <p>e) The bidder submitted only one project that met the minimum value and size instead of three projects as required in the bidding document.</p> <p>f) The bidder submitted translated audited books of accounts for the financial year ending 2013 and 2014 which did not bear the signature and stamp of the translator nor were they witnessed as a true translation or notarized. The books of accounts for 2015 were not signed by the auditors and the auditee.</p> <p>g) The bank facility confirming the source of funding for the bidder is a line of credit from China Everbright Bank Yantai Branch. The said facility expires on 10/02/2017 before the bid validity period of April 28, 2017. Additionally, the translated source of funding does not bear the signature and stamp of the translator nor was the documents for the source of funding witnessed as a true translation or notarized.</p> <p>h) The bidder submitted only one recommendation letter that indicated size and value of the project. The other recommendation letters did not state size and value of the project.</p> <p>i) The bidder did not submit evidence of ownership for some key equipment like the passenger hoist of at least 8 persons (630kg), assorted poker vibrators and vibro roller of 10 ton.</p>
6.	M/S Cementers Uganda Limited	The bidder failed the preliminary and detailed evaluation stage because the bidder did not submit evidence of academic qualifications for all key personnel as required in the bidding document.

**Authorised for display on Procurement and Disposal Notice Board**

**Signature:** DA

**Name:** Donna Kusemererwa

**Position:** Secretary to the Authority

**Date:** January 23, 2017



**Copied to all Bidders:**

- M/S CRJE (East Africa) Limited
- M/S China National Aero Technology International Engineering Corporation (CATIC)
- M/S Roko Construction Limited
- M/S China National Complete Plant Import and Export Limited (COMPLANT)
- M/S Yanjian Group Company Limited
- M/S Cementers Uganda Limited